EXHIBIT C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NEW CENTURY BANK d/b/a *

CUSTOMERS BANK, *

*

Plaintiff,

*

v. * CIVIL ACTION NO.

* 10-cv-06537-HB

OPEN SOLUTIONS, INC., *

*

Defendant. *

ORAL DEPOSITION OF ROBERT C. SCHOPPE FEBRUARY 17, 2011

VOLUME 1

ANSWERS AND DEPOSITION OF ROBERT C. SCHOPPE, produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and -numbered cause on the 17th day of February 2011, beginning at 9:33 a.m., before D. Beth Randolph, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, at the offices of Esquire Deposition Solutions, located at 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4750, Dallas, Texas, in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the agreement hereinafter set forth.



Toll Free: 800.852.9737 Facsimile: 214.954.4111

Suite 4750 1700 Pacific Avenue Dallas, TX 75201 www.esquiresolutions.com

```
1
                         APPEARANCES
 2
        FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 3
        MR. NICHOLAS DEENIS (VIDEO TELECONFERENCE)
        Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, L.L.P.
4
        2600 One Commerce Square
        Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
5
        (215) 564-8000
        (215) 564-8120 (Fax)
6
        FOR THE DEFENDANT:
7
        MR. RONALD J. SHAFFER (VIDEO TELECONFERENCE)
8
        Fox Rothschild, L.L.P.
        2000 Market Street, 20th Floor
9
        Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
        (215) 299-2196
10
        FOR THE WITNESS:
11
        MR. AARON MOORE
12
        Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
        1601 Bryan Street
13
        Dallas, Texas
                       75201
        (972) 761-2464
14
        (972) 761-8292 (Fax)
15
        WITNESS ADDRESS:
16
        Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
        1601 Bryan Street
        Dallas, Texas 75201
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



3

1	INDEX		
2	AppearancesPage	2	
3	Exhibit IndexPage	4	
4	Examination by Mr. DeenisPage	7	
5	Examination by Mr. ShafferPage	24	
6	Further Examination by Mr. DeenisPage	79	
7	Further Examination by Mr. ShafferPage	82	
8	Signature and CorrectionsPage	84	
9	Reporter's CertificatePage	85	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



we talked to them about options they could do as we would talk to about any -- with any assuming bank.

- Q. Now, you just used and I believe earlier in your testimony used a few references to deconversion.
 - A. Sure.

- Q. Can you tell me what your understanding of deconversion is?
- A. The data -- the electronic data of the failed bank whether it's the loans or the deposit is on a data processing system. In this case it's OSI system. An assuming bank will deconvert off of in this case OSI's system onto their own system.
- Q. Then does the acquiring bank after it receives the deconverted data have to do additional work to then have it in effect uploaded on to the second system?

 MR. DEENIS: Objection. Form.
- A. Well, they simply have to map the data from the previous system to their system in order for that information to flow to their new system. I think your question was is there other work after the deconversion. There probably could be some cleanup work. That would be on their system, not on OSI's system in this case.
- Q. (BY MR. SHAFFER) Now, what were the various options that were discussed between the FDIC and customers Bank regarding the Open Solutions agreements?



A. As I recall, there was a lot of discussion on the contractually required payment portion of the deconversion, and we told them that the contract was in the name of the receiver and so that if any -- if there were any contractually required payments, it would have to come from the receiver, not from them.

We told them that the options were to -if they couldn't negotiate a reasonable deconversion price
with OSI, they really only had two options. One was a
manual deconversion or the other option is to take their
chances in court.

- Q. So did you discuss with -- strike that. One of the options that was discussed with Customers Bank in those October conference calls was the potential for manual deconversion of the data from the USA Bank system; is that correct?
- A. It was briefly discussed but it was impractical to do but it was discussed, yes, sir.
- Q. Well, you were aware of the fact, were you not, that other banks have manually deconverted?
- A. I am aware that some other banks have done that, but customer service did not feel that was a viable option.
- Q. So would it be fair to say that the options that were discussed was to pay the agreed amount for

